

On axiomatization and polytime decidability of strictly positive fragment of K4.3

M. Svyatlovsky

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Wormshop 2017

Definition

- A formula is called *strictly positive* (SP-formula), if it is built from variables and the constant \top only with \wedge and \diamond .
- A *sequent* is an implication $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, where α and β are SP-formulas.
- The *SP-fragment* of a logic L is the set of all sequents provable in L .

Definition

A *canonical tree* for any SP-formula α is a Kripke model, which is denoted $T[\alpha]$ and is defined by induction:

- If α is a variable, then $T[\alpha]$ is a single-point irreflexive model and α is the only variable true at this point.
- If $\alpha = \top$, then $T[\alpha]$ is a single-point irreflexive model and all the variables are false at this point.
- If $\alpha = \beta \wedge \gamma$, then $T[\alpha]$ is $T[\beta]$ and $T[\gamma]$ joined by uniting their roots. If a variable was true at any of the old roots, it is true at the new root.
- If $\alpha = \diamond\beta$, then $T[\alpha]$ is $T[\beta]$ united with a new point, at which all variables are false and which is connected with every point in $T[\beta]$.

Lemma (Dashkov)

Let \mathcal{M} be a transitive Kripke model, and α a SP-formula. Then $\mathcal{M}, x \models \alpha$ iff there's a homomorphism $f: T[\alpha] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $f(r(\alpha)) = x$.

Theorem (Dashkov)

For all SP-formulas α, β , the following are equivalent:

- (i) $K4 \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$
- (ii) $T[\alpha], r(\alpha) \models \beta$
- (iii) *There's a homomorphism $f: T[\beta] \rightarrow T[\alpha]$, such that $f(r(\beta)) = r(\alpha)$.*

Definition

A Kripke model T is called a *linearisation* of $T[\alpha]$, if there exists a surjective homomorphism $f: T[\alpha] \rightarrow T$, and the relation in T is a linear irreflexive order.

Lemma

For any SP-formula α we have SP-formulas $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, such that every $T[\alpha_j]$ is a linearisation of $T[\alpha]$ and $K4.3 \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n \alpha_j$.

Antichains completion

Definition

Let $(W, <)$ be a partial order. An *antichain* in W is a nonempty subset of W , consisting of pairwise incomparable points.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{M} = (W, <, v)$ be a Kripke model. Its *antichains completion* is the following Kripke model $\mathcal{M}^\gamma = (W^\gamma, \prec, v^\gamma)$:

- W^γ is the set of all antichains in W .
- $x \prec y \iff \forall b \in y \exists a \in x : a < b$.
- $v^\gamma(p) = \{x \mid \forall a \in x a \in v(p)\}$.

Definition

$$\text{comwit}_n = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \diamond(p \wedge \diamond q_i) \rightarrow \diamond(p \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \diamond q_i)$$

Theorem

For all SP-formulas α, β , the following are equivalent:

- (i) $K4.3 \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$
- (ii) β is true at the root of every linearisation of $T[\alpha]$
- (iii) $T[\alpha]^\Upsilon, \{r(\alpha)\} \models \beta$
- (iv) $K4^+ + \text{comwit}_2 \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$.

Definition

- $A \subset W, \diamond A = \{b \in W \mid \exists a \in A : a > b\}$
- $(A_0, \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}) \wedge (B_0, \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}) := (A_0 \cap B_0, \{A_1 \cap B_0, A_2 \cap B_0, \dots, A_k \cap B_0, B_1 \cap A_0, B_2 \cap A_0, \dots, B_m \cap A_0\})$
- $\diamond(A, \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}) := (W, \{\diamond A_1, \diamond A_2, \dots, \diamond A_k\})$
- $g(p) = (v(p), \{v(p)\}), g(\top) = (W, \{W\}),$
 $g(\alpha \wedge \beta) = g(\alpha) \wedge g(\beta), g(\diamond \alpha) = \diamond g(\alpha)$
- $f(A_0, \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}) =$
 $\{X \in W^\gamma \mid X \subset A_0, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k \ X \cap A_i \neq \emptyset\}$

Polytime decidability of SP(K4.3)

Theorem

Let X be an antichain in the model \mathcal{M}^γ , and α a SP-formula. Then $\mathcal{M}^\gamma, X \models \alpha$ iff $X \in f(g(\alpha))$.

Corollary

The SP-fragment of the logic K4.3 is polytime decidable.